## Interview Summary

Application No. 09/443,505 Applicant(s)

Audousset

Examiner

Margaret Einsmann

Group Art Unit

1751

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
(1) Margaret Einsmann	(3) Matthew Whipple
(2) Carol L. Cole	(4)
Date of Interview May 15, 2001	
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2) applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) \( \subseteq \text{Yes} \)	e) No. If yes, brief description:
	•
Claim(s) discussed: all	
Identification of prior art discussed:  Akram; lim	
any other comments:  Lim et al., '584 reference in view of Akram: If applicant he	g) was not reached. h) N/A.  nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or  as support and excludes the specific base taught by Lim '584,
Lim et al., '438. The examiner agrees that there is no showing ef equivalencey between the chloro substituted base of Lim and the fluoro substitued oxidation base as claimed. Pending an updated search, this claims are allowable over this combination.	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no davailable, a summary thereof must be attached.)	dments which the examiner agreed would render the claims copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is
i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ	rate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MP	OM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

MARGARET EINSMANN PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1751